Cold-war spy stuff

warmed up

By PATRICK GIBBS

THE usual synopsis of the story, so useful to spectators who slumber, was not provided with Alfred Hitchcock's Topaz (Odeon, Leicester Sq. "A"). This omission might seem to indicate that the master had complete confidence in keeping us awake for a couple of hours, though I prefer to see him, more modestly, reading a summary in draft and bursting into either tears or laughter.

Year wire then not to spectators on which he could have leaned a little, how useful a few rarely resourceful acting performances. The opening is promising official, his wife and daughter throwing off, quite excitingly, their shadows from the Embassy to defect to the Americans in Copenhagen. The showroom of the Royal Copenhagen porcelain factory, where the daughter evades her watchers to make a vital telephone call, is a typical Hitchcock location and there's some typical business, too, with a broken porcelain figure.

Very wise, then, not to provide a synopsis of this preposterous plot, which is certainly not of the kind to make its point when written on the proverbial postage stamp, or even on a post-

The weaknesses are two. A central character is constantly before us in whom neither authors nor actor can find any interest; and in the middle of the film is a long expedition to Cuba which is both ridiculous and irrelevant

which turned to fictional account

Onlie begetter

bring off the trick again, it is largely because there appears to be so little of himself in the Devereaux enters the building film, so much of his writers and actors.

As we know from his intimate contessions on his craft, Hitch-cock has always regarded himself as the sole creator of his self as the sole creator of his highly, dismissing acting merely as "the art of doing nothing well" and finding scarcely a single camerman worth mention.

Now, at 70, he finds, perhaps,

figure.

The defector, nicely played by Per-Axel Arosenius, is pleasantly ironic, with his remark to the Americans: "Wo would have managed this much better," but once in Washington. I rather doubt. Frederick Staf-

Since he is intimate with the ing some stunning interiors, my CIA man responsible for the defector, this isn't difficult. The outcome is that he finds himself, out of personal friendship, doing which turned to fictional account the allegations, which still reverberate, made by the French Military Attaché in Washington, Col. Thiraud de Vosjoly. He maintained that there was a Russian agent high up in Gende Gaulle's Government on the evidence, apparently, of the Russian, Anotoly Dolnytsin, who defected to the Americans in 1961. being sent to Cuba.

A spy story, in fact, of the kind which has provided Hitch-cock with some of his greatest successes. If he fails now to bring off the trick again, it is largely because there appears to which as a great or which a great or which as a great or which as a great or which a great or w

Now, at 70, he finds, perhaps, By comparison the failure of the feat of total creation, which he carried off so triumphantly transcend the script becomes in the past, a bit too much for conspicuous and we have to cuhim, and some of the chickens, dure some absurd adventures by which he sent scurrying, are Devercaux in Cuba itself, where coming home to roost. How he goes for more spying and helpful, for instance, would some amorous passages, before have been a well-turned spirit to For Release 2004 11701. CIA-RDP88-01365R000300230005-7

Noiret, pulls off the same sort of feat in the little part of a suspected civilian high up in NATO.

P-Uris, Leon

Studio style

By this time Devercaux, having got the required information out of Cuba with some loss of blood, his mistress paying the price, as they say, is in Paris to run the great traitors, whose code name is Topaz, finally to ground. This involves, naturally, some more bloodshed, pager M. ground. This involves, naturally, some more bloodshed, poor M. Noiret ending up picturesquely as a corpse on top of a Citroën, but not before he has delighted us, and Mr Hitchcock too, I hope, with his display of "doing nothing well."

card.

The weaknesses are two. A central character is constantly before us in whom neither authors nor actor can find any interest; and in the middle of the film is a long expedition to Cuba which is both ridiculous and irrelevant.

The basis is a novel by Leon Uris, adapted by Samuel Taylor, which turned to fictional account.

better," but once in Washington. I rather doubt. Frederick Stafeset up in a hideout, he and his, ford gamely slogs it out, some family rather vanish from view, times even trying a French Instead, interest is demanded accent, with little help from the for a commerical Attaché at director who seems to have been the French Embassy, called unable to find an appropriate Devereaux, actually a secret style. Given the factual basis, agent, whose chief mysteriously a realistic style seems indicated; from Paris, while it is still Instead we have a good old Hollywood studio style with a few exterior locations support-

Awfully old hat, this Cold-War spy stuff and Hitchcock himself seems to feel this at heart, for since I saw the film he's added a new ending, which can hardly fail to be an improvement, though it's a new middle that's wanted.